

Click to prove
you're human



real-world operating conditions. Requirements defined in the URS, especially those related to performance, usability, and GMP compliance, must be verified during PQ. Example: URS Requirement: The system must store audit trails for at least 5 years. Verified during PQ by demonstrating data retention and retrieval in the production environment. Importance of Traceability To comply with GMP, traceability between the URS and qualification documents is essential. This ensures: All user needs are met No critical requirement is overlooked Audit readiness is maintained Best practice: Use a traceability matrix to map each URS requirement to the corresponding test in FAT, SAT, IQ, OQ, or PQ. Simplified V-Model for Low-Risk Systems Not all systems require complex multi-document structures. For low-risk or non-critical systems, the URS can be combined with functional/design requirements, and verification may be simplified. However, even in such cases: A documented URS is still required under Annex 15 GMP expectations (data integrity, qualification, documentation) must still be met URS as a Living Part of the V-Model The V-model isn't a one-time exercise. Changes to the system (e.g., upgrades, patches, procedural changes) may necessitate URS updates. Therefore, it should be: Maintained under change control Reviewed periodically Updated when business, technical, or regulatory needs evolve URS and Risk Management The development of a User Requirement Specification (URS) is not just a documentation exercise, it is a key opportunity to apply risk management principles early in the lifecycle of the equipment or system. By integrating a risk-based approach into the URS, pharmaceutical companies can proactively address potential compliance, quality, and operational issues before they arise. Risk Assessment and Mitigation in URS During the drafting of the URS, it is essential to identify and evaluate risks associated with the equipment or system, particularly those that may affect: Product quality (e.g., contamination, mix-ups) Patient safety (e.g., incorrect data processing) Data integrity (e.g., unauthorized access, missing audit trails) Regulatory compliance (e.g., failure to meet Annex 11/Part 11) Operational continuity (e.g., system downtime, maintenance complexity) The URS should reflect mitigation strategies for these risks, either through specific design requirements (e.g., redundant power supply, automatic backup) or procedural controls (e.g., user access restrictions, system alarms). Example: Risk: Data loss due to power failure URS Requirement: The system shall include an uninterruptible power supply (UPS) with automatic data save functionality in the event of power interruption. Integration of Risk-Based Approach in URS Development Modern GMP guidelines, including ICH Q9 and Annex 15, promote a risk-based approach to system design and validation. This approach should be embedded into the URS development process by: Assessing the criticality of each requirement based on its impact on product quality and patient safety Prioritizing requirements that address high-risk areas (e.g., data integrity, contamination control, cross-contamination prevention) Documenting the risk rationale where appropriate (e.g., This requirement is critical due to potential impact on sterility assurance.) This not only helps focus validation and testing efforts on what matters most, but it also provides traceability and justification during inspections or audits. Linking Risk to Verification Strategy The risk assessment performed during URS drafting should directly influence the qualification strategy: High-risk requirements Tested during PQ under worst-case conditions Medium-risk requirements Verified during OQ or SAT Low-risk or informational items May be verified by design review or vendor documentation Tip: Maintain a risk classification column within your URS or traceability matrix to support transparent verification planning. Regulatory and Guideline References A well-prepared User Requirement Specification (URS) must do more than define system expectations, it must reflect the regulatory landscape governing pharmaceutical manufacturing. Several international guidelines and standards provide the foundation for URS development, particularly in areas such as validation, data integrity, and quality risk management. Below is an overview of the most relevant regulatory references: EU GMP Annex 15 Qualification and Validation Requires a documented URS for all new equipment, facilities, utilities, and systems used in GMP manufacturing. Stipulates that the URS should be the starting point for qualification activities, especially Design Qualification (DQ). Emphasizes that the URS must define GMP-critical elements and link to risk assessments and verification strategies. EU GMP Annex 11 Computerised Systems For computerized systems, Annex 11 demands clear documentation of user requirements, including data integrity, security, and audit trails. The URS must define compliance with electronic records and electronic signature requirements, and these must be tested during qualification. FDA 21 CFR Part 11 Applies to systems that manage electronic records or signatures in FDA-regulated environments. While it doesn't use the term URS, the requirements for validation, secure user access, audit trails, and system functionality are typically captured in the URS. For vendors supplying to the U.S. market, alignment with Part 11 is essential. GAMP 5 Guide A widely accepted industry guideline that complements GMP regulations. Defines system categories and recommends URS content based on system complexity. Promotes the V-model lifecycle approach, in which the URS forms the top-level requirement linked to performance qualification. Strong emphasis on traceability, risk-based validation, and supplier involvement. ASTM E2500-20 Standard Guide for Specification, Design, and Verification Provides a science- and risk-based approach to qualification and system lifecycle. Promotes the concept of Verification over traditional IQ/OQ/PQ and supports lean documentation. Recommends that requirements be defined, justified, and traceable, starting from the URS. ICH Q9 Quality Risk Management Offers the overarching risk management framework for all GxP activities, including URS development. Encourages companies to assess and document the impact of each requirement based on patient safety and product quality. Supports alignment between URS content and downstream qualification/testing. FAQ Download this free Requirement Specification Document template and use it for your new project. Scroll down to the bottom of the page for the download link. The problem of (describe the problem) affects (The stakeholders affected by the problem). The impact of which is (What is the impact of the problem). A successful solution would (List some key benefits of a successful solution). Table 1 : Problem Statement For (who will use the product/system) Who (describe the benefits from the product/system) (The system/products name) (Is it software, hardware, system, application product?) That (Scope and goal of the product) Unlike (Limitation of the current product) Our product (Strengths of the product, what can be done) Table 2: Product Position Statement Representative Who is the stakeholder representative to the project (optional if documented elsewhere). What we want here is names and role. Description Brief description of the stakeholder type Type Quality the expertise of the stakeholder i.e. GURU, BUSINESS EXPERT, CASUAL USER etc i.e. technical background and degree of sophistication Responsibilities List the key responsibilities of the stakeholder with regards to the system being developed (i.e. their interest as a stakeholder). Success Criteria How does the stakeholder define success? How is the stakeholder rewarded? Involvement How the stakeholder is involved in the project (i.e. Requirements Reviewer etc.) Deliverables Any additional deliverables required by the stakeholder. These could be project deliverables or output from the system under development. Comments / Issues Problems that interfere with success and any other relevant information Table 3: Stakeholder Representative Who is the user representative to the project (optional if documented else where). This often refers to the Stakeholder that represents the set of users (i.e. Stakeholder: Stakeholder1). Description Brief description of the user type Type Quality the expertise of the user i.e. GURU, CASUAL USER etc i.e. Technical background and degree of sophistication Responsibilities List the key responsibilities of the user with respect to the system (i.e. captures customer details, produces reports, co-ordinates work). Success Criteria How does the user define success? How is the user rewarded? Involvement How the user is involved in the project relate where possible to RUP workers (i.e. Requirements Reviewer etc.) Deliverables Deliverables the user produces, and for whom. Comments / Issues Problems that interfere with success and any other relevant information. Trends that make the users job easier or harder Table 4: User profiles < Activity Flow Chart > Name (Name of use case) Brief Description (brief description on the use case) Relationships with actor (relationships between actor and use case) Inputs (Identify all inputs for this use case. The following attributes shall be identified;) Unique identifier for input Description on the input Type of input (input characteristics) Range of input values, enumerated or otherwise Source of input Processing Detailed descriptions of what processing or any validation is expecting to be done for this use case. Outputs (Identify all outputs for this use case. The following attributes shall be identified;) Unique identifier for output Description on the output Type of output (output characteristics) Format of output (if applicable) Components of output (if applicable) Range of input values, enumerated or otherwise Special Requirements (Any consideration for the use case) Table 5: Detail Requirement Click hereto download Requirement Specification Document Template. Share copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially. Adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially. The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms. Attribution You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. Share Alike If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original. No additional restrictions You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits. You do not have to comply with the license for elements of the material in the public domain or where your use is permitted by an applicable exception or limitation. No warranties are given. The license may not give you all of the permissions necessary for your intended use. For example, other rights such as publicity, privacy, or moral rights may limit how you use the material. Download this free Requirement Specification Document template and use it for your new project. Scroll down to the bottom of the page for the download link. The problem of (describe the problem) affects (The stakeholders affected by the problem). The impact of which is (What is the impact of the problem). A successful solution would (List some key benefits of a successful solution). Table 1 : Problem Statement For (who will use the product/system) Who (describe the benefits from the product/system) (The system/products name) (Is it software, hardware, system, application product?) That (Scope and goal of the product) Unlike (Limitation of the current product) Our product (Strengths of the product, what can be done) Table 2: Product Position Statement Representative Who is the stakeholder representative to the project (optional if documented elsewhere). What we want here is names and role. Description Brief description of the stakeholder type Type Quality the expertise of the stakeholder i.e. GURU, BUSINESS EXPERT, CASUAL USER etc i.e. technical background and degree of sophistication Responsibilities List the key responsibilities of the stakeholder with regards to the system being developed (i.e. their interest as a stakeholder). Success Criteria How does the stakeholder define success? How is the stakeholder rewarded? Involvement How the stakeholder is involved in the project (i.e. Requirements Reviewer etc.) Deliverables Any additional deliverables required by the stakeholder. These could be project deliverables or output from the system under development. Comments / Issues Problems that interfere with success and any other relevant information Table 3: Stakeholder Representative Who is the user representative to the project (optional if documented else where). This often refers to the Stakeholder that represents the set of users (i.e. Stakeholder: Stakeholder1). Description Brief description of the user type Type Quality the expertise of the user i.e. GURU, CASUAL USER etc i.e. Technical background and degree of sophistication Responsibilities List the key responsibilities of the user with respect to the system (i.e. captures customer details, produces reports, co-ordinates work). Success Criteria How does the user define success? How is the user rewarded? Involvement How the user is involved in the project relate where possible to RUP workers (i.e. Requirements Reviewer etc.) Deliverables Deliverables the user produces, and for whom. Comments / Issues Problems that interfere with success and any other relevant information. Trends that make the users job easier or harder Table 4: User profiles < Activity Flow Chart > Name (Name of use case) Brief Description (brief description on the use case) Relationships with actor (relationships between actor and use case) Inputs (Identify all inputs for this use case. The following attributes shall be identified;) Unique identifier for input Description on the input Type of input (input characteristics) Range of input values, enumerated or otherwise Source of input Processing Detailed descriptions of what processing or any validation is expecting to be done for this use case. Outputs (Identify all outputs for this use case. The following attributes shall be identified;) Unique identifier for output Description on the output Type of output (output characteristics) Format of output (if applicable) Components of output (if applicable) Range of input values, enumerated or otherwise Special Requirements (Any consideration for the use case) Table 5: Detail Requirement Click hereto download Requirement Specification Document Template.

Requirement specification document. Requirement specification template. User requirements specification template. User requirement specification example pdf. User requirement specification document. User requirement specification template word.